

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the present application in view of the reasons which follow.

- I. The Examiner objects to the disclosure under 37 CFR § 1.163(a) and rejects the claim under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs, as being unsupported by a clear and complete botanical description which distinguishes the cultivar from related known varieties. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the application is requested in view of the foregoing amendments and the remarks which follow.
- II. In order to expedite the Examiner's review of this response, applicant will address each of the Examiner's objections in the order they were discussed in the Official Action.
 - A. The specification has been amended at page 1, line 13, and at page 2, line 2, to delete the recitation "at PKM Nurseries."
 - B. The specification has been amended at page 4, line 6, to clarify why the plant name, "White Wonder Great" is used. Specifically, in the photographic drawings, the instant plant is labeled by its commercial name, "White Wonder Great".
 - C. The specification has been amended at page 6, under the heading "Flower Description", to provide a color description for the calyx with reference to the RHS Colour Chart.
 - D. The specification has been amended at page 7, by adding after line 11, the information that 'PKMp02' does not produce seeds, and therefore, no seed description can be provided.
 - E. The specification has been amended at page 1, at line 3 and line 8, to correct the species name of the plant. The amended genus and species name of the plant is *Campanula X haylodgensis hort.*

III. In addition, the specification has been amended at page 1, line 1, to amend the title from CAMPANULA PLANT NAMED '928.03.3012' to CAMPANULA PLANT NAMED 'PKMp02'.

Further, the specification has been amended at page 1, line 5, to amend the variety denomination from 928.03.3012 to PKMp02. As a result, the Applicant has replaced all occurrences of '928.03.3012' with 'PKMp02' within the specification, at the following locations:

page 1, lines 10 and 11;
page 2, lines 6, 11, and 12;
page 3, lines 5, 7, 10, 13, 16, and 18;
page 4, lines 6, 8, and 11;
page 8, line 3; and
page 9, line 3.


IV. Due to the number of changes and/or correction, Applicant hereby files a Substitute Specification containing no new matter. The Examiner is authorized to cancel the specification as filed in favor of the attached Substitute Specification.

Applicant believes that the present application is now in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration of the application as amended is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is felt that a telephone interview would advance the prosecution of the present application.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required regarding this application under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16-1.17, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 19-0741. Should an improper payment be enclosed herewith, as by a check being in the wrong amount, unsigned, post-dated, otherwise improper or informal or even entirely missing, the Commissioner is authorized to charge the unpaid amount to Deposit Account No. 19-0741.

Respectfully submitted,

By  35,087 for

Richard C. Peet
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 35,792

Date: 17 June 2004
FOLEY & LARDNER
Customer Number: 22428

22428

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

Telephone: (202) 672-5483
Facsimile: (202) 672-5399